

Referee Comments
Testing the convergence hypothesis for OECD

Comments

The paper deserves publication. However, the below points should be addressed before its publication.

- The footnotes 1 and 8 are the same.
- Second paragraph in page 7 needs to be revised to clarify the meaning of the following 2 sentences: "In this case, it is believed that, for convergence to hold, the average slope function of this difference will be negative." and "For convergence to hold, the rate of change in this difference needs to be positive."
- "Based on this last study, Bentzen (2005)..." (pg. 7 parag. 1), it is not clear to which study is "this last study" is referring to
- σ^{16} in the last paragraph of page 7 needs some clarifications
- The paper should be edited for typos such as "...over tim,e" (pg.8, parag. 2)
- The paper is written well in general, however an extra editing for English, especially in section, 2 may be helpful for expository purposes.
- The dependent and independent variables in Equation 1 should be stated as growth rates and initial incomes in the text explicitly
- Stengos and Yazgan (2014), Persistence in convergence, Macroeconomic Dynamics, has a different methodology and has OECD as a sub-group in their analysis of growth convergence.