This is an interesting research and the paper is well structured. The authors conducted a rigorous sampling and data collection with the aim of describing the problem of multi-destination trip behavior in depth. However, the major lack of this paper is that it is only descriptive and no tests or models are applied. I think that it is necessary to perform a statistical test in order to evaluate the relationship between multi-destination trips and the other factors described in figure 1, in place of simply writing “…seem to be related to” (pag. 11).

In pag. 11 the authors state that “first-time visitors are more likely to make a multi-destination trip…compared to the repeaters” and they agree with Wang in saying that this can be due to a loyalty process. Did they test whether this result depends on the number of time the tourist already visited the place?

I think that it is well know that the major visited cities of the islands are Palermo, Catania, Syracuse, Taormina, etc. So I don’t really understand the value added of the model discussed in pag. 12. I think that multi destination trip is an important issue and that it must be study rigorously and more in depth.

It is also necessary to enunciate the aim of the paper more clearly in the introduction. This emerges in particular when you say that the aim of the paper is to “describe the research design and the solutions adopted for the analysis of incoming tourism in Sicily”. Actually it does not match with what you presented in the paper.

Minor points:
- In general it is necessary to use the international punctuation (replace commas with points and vice versa)
- Pag. 4 “Regarding physical factors some authors…” specify the authors
- Pag. 11 correct the number of the figure (1 in place of 2)
- Pag. 14 specify better the statement “…which seem to be independent from tourists motivations and from the type of holyday undertaken by tourists”…I think that it is necessary conduct a statistical test before to assert this.