The author argues that the financial crisis is a result of malpractice in the financial sector. There have been many voices attributing blame to neo-classical economics for not having been able to predict the crash. The author does not attribute blame but to the theory directly, i.e. for its lack of predicting the actual crash but for being the theoretical backdrop to the extreme deregulation of the financial sector, ultimately leading to malpractice and the crash. The paper is an opinion piece and as such interesting and a broad discussion of views and debates. However, it is not very well structured and gets lost in side issues. In particular, the above argument is watered down by the severe criticism of the rationality assumption of economics, the microfoundation for macroeconomics, the extreme focus on prediction (rather than explanation), that the economy is not modelled as a complex system, the use of mathematics and the closedness of the publishing community. Despite an interesting discussion of the flaws of academic economics and the malpractice of real world economics, the fifteen recommendations seem not very well supported assertions which. The content of the paper is interesting and relevant but the overall argumentation has to be improved.