

Distance, Production, Virtual Trade and Growth – A Note

*Biswajit Mandal**

University at Albany-SUNY, Albany, NY, USA
and
Visva-Bharati University, Santiniketan, India

Corresponding author:

BISWAJIT MANDAL
Department of Economics & Politics
Visva-Bharati University
Santiniketan, India
731235
Telephone: (+91) 03463262751-56 Extn. 405
E-mail: biswajiteco@gmail.com / bmandal@albany.edu

**The author is thankful to Kajal Lahiri, Sugata Marjit, Noritsugu Nakanishi and Fumio Dei for their valuable comments on an earlier draft of the paper. Thanks are also due to the editor, two anonymous referees, and an anonymous reader for their comments that helped improving the quality of the paper. In fact I have changed the title of this paper following referee's suggestion which I think very aptly pointed out. The author gratefully acknowledges the financial support from JSPS and UGC. However, the research reported in this paper was not the result of a for-pay consulting relationship or the author's employer does not have a financial interest in the topic of the paper which might constitute a conflict of interest. The usual disclaimer applies.*

Distance, Production, Virtual Trade and Growth – A Note

Abstract

This short note tries to argue that distance is not necessarily harmful for trade. It is shown that there may be an increase in the production and volume of trade if time zones of the trading nations are non-overlapping. This implies a positive effect of distance on the volume of trade in general and virtual trade in particular. It is also shown that exploitation of time zone difference raises welfare and ensures capital accumulation. The note builds on the emerging literature on time zones and pure theory of international trade.

Key words: Trade, Time Zone

JEL classification: F1.

I. Introduction

Typically physical distance between trading nations restricts trade. This statement is unquestionably true as far as the volume of trade (measured in any normalized units e.g. size of the country, trade-GDP ratio etc.) in tangible goods or services requiring physical presence of supplier are concerned. These concerns have been explored nicely in the existing literature. Transportation and trading cost are the prime trade deterring factors. Trade restriction related transaction cost of corruption is another obstacle. Ricardian model with trading cost, Heckscher-Ohlin model with transport sector, Intra-industry trade model with traders and Gravity model of trade corroborate the claim. Falvey (1976), Cassing (1978), Deardorff (2004), Feenstra (2004), Anderson (2000), Anderson and Wincoop (2004), Davis (1998), Trefler (1995), Laussel and Riezman (2008), Bernard, Jensen and Schoot (2006), Limao and Venables (2001), Chakrabarti (2004) etc. are some of the noted contributions in this literature.

In recent times the composition of trade has changed to a certain extent. Trade in business services has emerged as the new trend, where offshoring of business services such as engineering, consulting and software development are major ones. The striking feature of service trade is the non-necessity of physical shipment of product and non-physical presence of supplier. Coupled with this possibility, comes the issue of separated or non-overlapping time zones. With the advent of high bandwidth internet service trade in services which is virtual in nature has become relatively less costly. To exploit this possibility, time zones of two different nations have to be non-overlapping i.e. the working hours should be complementary with each other over a day-night cycle. We know that the difference in time zones depends only on physical distance (in terms of latitude). Thus whether distance is a hindering factor for trade has become an issue of serious research again. Till date only a handful of work is done in the interface of trade and distance related time zone such as Marjit (2007), Kikuchi (2009), Kikuchi and Marjit (2011), Kikuchi (2013), Anderson (2012), Dettmer (2011), Head et al (2009), Matsuoka and Fukushima (2010), Kikuchi et al (2013). In fact Kikuchi (2013) is a nice compilation of different works done in this area so far. However, most of the papers look at the effect of non-

overlapping time zones on the pattern of trade, volume of trade, and welfare implications of such kind of trade.

In a two-country Ricardian framework Marjit (2007) introduced the role of time zones by means of a rate of discount on the price of the final commodity. This discount rate captures the consumers' preference for a commodity. In absence of time zone difference and outsourcing possibility the production of a good requires two consecutive workdays implying a negative effect on consumers' valuation of the good. The negative effect would vanish if time zone difference is exploited by using the workday of another country. This makes the commodity available earlier and hence reduces/eliminates the time preference associated discount rate. In such a set up Marjit (2007) showed how time zone can emerge as another determinant of trade independent of endowment, technology and preference for variety. It has also been shown in the paper that for a negligible shipment cost (communication revolution made that possible) trade is gainful across different time zones. Note that the kind of trade the author stressed upon is absolutely virtual in nature, i.e. in business services. Though, he did not use any such identifying terminologies for service trade that takes advantage of the non-overlapping time zones. He then extended the model for general equilibrium set up and validated the basic results. In terms of Marjit (2007) the difference in time zones is 'natural'. Later Kikuchi (2009) proposed a three country monopolistically competitive model of virtual trade with different time zones. He showed that under a reasonable condition outsourcing is more profitable than communication autarky. He further explored how outsourcing increases the division of labor and initiates a cumulative process implying further specialization in the business service sector. This model has been extended again for deriving the condition under which trading countries would gain if comparative advantage is driven by time zone difference.

In a very recent paper Kikuchi and Marjit (2011) framed a dynamic model of growth with time zone. They have shown how utilization of communication network such as internet can lead to a permanent increase in productivity and hence

growth. The intuition is that trade across time zones increases working hours permanently in an integrated world.

By this time it is, perhaps, clear that total volume of trade has two components: physical trade and virtual trade. Physical trade falls with distance. But my focus in this note is on the relationship between virtual trade and distance. The idea of virtual trade is essentially trade in services or trade in labor tasks that can be exported and imported back via internet. This part is relatively less explored. So in this note I strive to add some value to the existing literature which is yet to be highly researched. In doing so I attempt to relate physical distance influencing (non-) overlapping time zones between two trading partners with virtual trade. Notice that this kind of trade becomes a central issue of research only after information technology revolution. So a reduction in the cost of communication is the primary driving force for virtual trade. Hence I start with a negligible cost of communication.

The world being circular, time zones are essentially the reflection of aerial distance. Therefore, in a finer sense distance between two places are exhibited by the difference in time zones or calendar dates. And, hence, in the hindsight of time zone and trade literature there is physical distance that triggers virtual trade positively which is quite contradictory with the standard 'distance and goods' trade' arguments. Taking clue from this baseline wisdom I move forward to check how distance can impact on volume of production and trade. Then I briefly attempt to look at if such kind of trade caused by difference in time zone which in turn led by distance may induce any change in capital accumulation or output growth.

The rest of the note runs as follows: next section talks about the story and formulation of the model. Section III focuses on the effect of distance on production and virtual trade. Effect on capital accumulation and growth is indicated, in brief, in Section IV. Some concluding remarks are provided in the last section.

II. The Story and A Simple Model

Drawing from Kikuchi and Marjit (2011)¹ I consider a Cobb-Douglas production function for service output (S) which requires capital (K) and intermediate input (m). The production function is given by the following equation:

$$S = K^\alpha (m)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} (m)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} = K^\alpha m^{1-\alpha} \quad (1)$$

Note that $0 < \alpha < 1$.

For simplicity I assume that one unit of L is required to produce one unit of m which is assumed as the numeraire good/service. Production of S requires two consecutive stages or workdays. Thus in-home or within country production of S requires two m. But as in-home production needs two workdays, goods cannot be delivered 'timely'.² Untimely delivery of final good/service is not desired by the consumers' which is reflected in the price of the final good or service, P_S . Note that this does not imply any change in the cost of production. The extent of delay in delivery is negatively related with the consumers' valuation of the good. Taking clue from Marjit (2007) let us denote the time preference by δ (> 1). Therefore the consumers' price would be $\frac{P_S}{\delta}$.

The cost function for S is:

$$C = m + m \quad (2)$$

For brevity I assume capital as costless

¹ Kikuchi and Marjit (2011) is concerned about how growth is associated with time zone difference. They formulated a dynamic model of growth following AK structure where it has been argued how exploitation of time zone difference through communication network can lead to growth in both the trading partners simultaneously. But they did not consider the distance issue explicitly. So their paper was based on growth theory and focused on productivity concern. In this note I borrow the simple Cobb-Douglas production function that had been used in Kikuchi and Marjit (2011) and then invoke the issue of distance captured by difference in time zones. I thank the referee for asking me to provide with the brief idea of Kikuchi and Marjit (2011).

² At night nobody works. Labor takes 12 hr rest and starts working again in the next day morning. Hence the final good is ready for sale at the end of second workday or in the second day evening. Hence, in between two workdays one night is wastage. This wastage induces the untimely delivery.

The demand for intermediate service input is determined by the profit maximization problem of S. The profit equation is (For simplicity one can assume $P_S = 1$)

$$\pi = \frac{P_S}{\delta} S - (m + m)$$

Where π implies profit.

First order condition for profit maximization leads to

$$m = K \left(\frac{P_S}{2\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (3)$$

Plugging (3) into (1) we get

$$S = K(P_S)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} (\delta)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \quad (4)$$

It is apparent from (4) that δ has a negative connotation for the volume of S. This is the cost of untimely delivery for not utilizing the time zone difference.

If the country(ies) exploit the opportunity of non-overlapping time zone with others, they could get any one stage of input processing done in other country's workday. Note that other country's work day coincides with the former country's night. Hence non-overlapping time zones induce utilization of even night time for the purpose of production.³ This makes the producers capable of delivering the final output in 'due time', i.e. second day morning. Therefore, in this backdrop, the cost equation remains same, but the profit equation would change.

$$\pi_t = P_S S - (m + m) \quad (5)^4$$

$\pi_t \Rightarrow$ profit when time zone opportunity is exploited.

Therefore, profit-maximized production equation is:

$$S_t = K(P_S)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \quad (6)$$

Comparing (4) and (6) it is evident that for given K and L quantity of S would be higher if time zone benefit is exploited.

³ Cost of communication for sending (exporting) and recollecting (importing) unfinished and finished service respectively can easily be brought into the analysis. However, this will not imply any qualitative change in the note.

⁴ Subscript 't' indicates exploiting the benefit rendered by time zone difference and internet connectivity.

$$S_t = K(P_S)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} > S = K(P_S)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} (\delta)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \text{ (as } \delta > 1 \text{ and } 0 < \alpha < 1)$$

Proposition I: Utilization of time zone difference increases production if $\delta > 1$. ■

III. Distance and Trade

I have mentioned earlier that difference in time zones depends on physical distance, d , i.e.

$$\delta = \delta(d); \tag{7}$$

$\delta \geq 1$ and $\delta' < 0$. $\delta = 1$ when countries are located in non-overlapping time zones (maximum aerial distance) and $\delta > 1$ for overlapping time zones. When overlapping time stretch increases (aerial distance falls) δ goes up and conversely. Nevertheless δ remains greater than unity as long as there is any overlapping time in calendar date. The argument is built on the assumption that the globe is circular and the distance between places are measured aerially. And I further consider that the distance between two places can be covered along the diameter of the globe (circle)⁵. I also assume that in order to exploit the benefit of time zone difference it has to be exactly non-overlapping. Note that δ takes any value greater than unity when virtual trade occurs between countries located in two overlapping time zones i.e., δ takes the value $\bar{\delta} > 1$ for all such countries. When $\delta = \bar{\delta} = 1$, virtual trade is most beneficial in that the loss injected by untimely delivery of the final output is completely vanished.

Using the thought process one can easily understand that not only the final good production (possibility of trade as supply becomes greater than demand) increases, along with it a double (at most) amount of m compared to S is also traded. A careful investigation of the arguments I made here makes it clear that the trade is taking place for both the intermediate inputs and final output (assuming that trade is

⁵ If we consider the story of covering the linear distance or travelling through the circumference of the circle the relationship between distance and trade in labor task or services or virtual trade will exhibit an inverted U-shape. Volume of virtual trade will increase (when δ is continuous) with distance first indicating an increase in non-overlapping stretch of time (day or night) and hence δ approaches unity. Thereafter, δ again goes up inflicting a negative effect on virtual trade. I thank an anonymous referee for clarifying this issue.

balanced). Because, a part of S (after the first stage) is exported first. Then it is imported back after the final stage is done. Similar kind of pattern of trade can be experienced for both the trading partners as countries are free to allocate resources between intermediate input and final output production. Here it is worth mentioning that we have implicitly assumed identical factor endowment and technology of production in order to rule out Heckscher-Ohlin and Ricardian comparative advantage principles. For both the countries the final output is in fact “timely” delivered in identical calendar date though there would be difference in the time of delivery due to time zone difference.⁶ This implies a surge or abrupt increase in trade volume. It is interesting to note that this hike in trade volume is only because of virtual trade which is made possible through internet communication revolution.⁷

Now let us modify equation (7) and make it continuous and plug it into the profit maximizing level of output.

$$\delta = \delta(d) \tag{8}$$

Note that $\delta'(d) < 0$. Therefore,

$$S_t = K(P_S)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} (\delta(d))^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \tag{9}$$

Equation (9) yields that as distance rises δ gradually falls (but it still takes a value greater than unity) and S goes up. S reaches the maximum when d corresponds to

⁶ I thank an anonymous referee for asking me to clarify this point.

⁷ This is done in Kikuchi (2009) but in a different structure. Kikuchi (2009) used a three country framework to analyze how internet connection translates the time zone difference into comparative advantage. So the unconnected country fails to exploit the time zone related natural difference. Therefore internet connectivity leads to trade creation. In this paper though I indirectly hint at trade creation proposition, I do not consider a three country model that can easily be extended for. Further I attempted to go slightly beyond the time zone argument by bringing in the issue of distance to the forefront. Here internet connectivity is a necessary condition, but to extract benefit fully from internet connection the countries need to be located at a distance. To be more precise the distance consistent with non-overlapping time zone is most advantageous.

exactly non-overlapping time zones associated with maximum distance along the diameter of the globe.

The welfare implication for virtual trade is very easy to understand. For a small country framework, without changing the terms of trade the volume of trade has gone up implying an unambiguous increase in welfare. Even if the countries are large and trade in final goods/services is not allowed, the volume of trade effect will raise the welfare. Along with it domestic production of consumable S goes up. Therefore an increase in welfare is unambiguous.

Proposition II: With distance both volume of trade and welfare increases as $\delta'(d) < 0$ and $\delta > 1$. ■

IV. Distance, Capital Accumulation and Growth

Now I focus on the impact of distance on growth. I assume a constant savings rate so that we can have the following capital equation, namely,

$$\dot{K} = \rho S_t - \varphi K$$

Here ρ indicates constant savings rate and φ represents the rate of depreciation of capital K . Therefore, \dot{K} is the growth rate of capital. So,

$$\dot{K} = \rho K (P_S)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} (\delta(d))^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - \varphi K$$

$$\text{Or, } \frac{\dot{K}}{K} = \rho (P_S)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} (\delta(d))^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - \varphi \quad (10)$$

It is apparent from (10) that as distance (d) goes up the value of δ goes down implying an increase in capital accumulation or eventually leading to continuous output growth.

V. Concluding Remarks

In this note I have constructed a theoretical model using a Cobb-Douglas production function to check how distance is related with time zones and production. It has been shown that time zone exploitation directly raises production

and volume of trade in general and virtual trade in particular. Here virtual trade is defined as trade in intermediate or final services which is essentially trade in labor services of different countries. So welfare must increase due to time zone exploitation. I have further shown that this also helps capital accumulation and output growth of the economy. The basic results of the paper may have some interesting implications for the existing FTA (Free Trade Agreement) literature. Conventionally, ideas about FTA formation are primarily based on trade costs increasing in distance implying higher probability of forming FTA and trade creation if the partners are located in close proximity. Whereas, an increase in service trade, and thus of potential gains from cross-time-zone trade, suggest a contrary force in importance. Therefore virtual trade analysis following geographical distance and time zone differences may come up with some interesting dimensions for the formation of FTA⁸.

⁸ I am immensely thankful to an anonymous reader for pointing out this possibility.

References

- Anderson, E., (2013), "Time differences, communication and trade: Longitude matters II", *Review of World Economics*, (December), 1-33.
<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10290-013-0179-9#>
- Anderson, J., (2000), "Why do nations trade (so little)?" *Pacific Economic Review*, 5(2) , 115-134.
- Anderson, J, and E. Wincoop., (2004), "Trade Costs". *Journal of Economic Literature*, 42(3) , 691-751. <http://ideas.repec.org/a/aea/jelcit/v42y2004i3p691-751.html>
- Bernard, A. B., J. B. Jensen and P. K. Schott., (2006), "Trade Costs, Firms and Productivity", *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 53(5), 917-937.
<http://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/moneco/v53y2006i5p917-937.html>
- Cassing, J.H., (1978), "Transport Costs in International Trade Theory: A comparison with the Analysis of Non-traded Goods", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, XCII(4), 535- 550.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1883174>
- Chakrabarti, A., (2004), "Asymmetric Adjustment Costs in Simple General Equilibrium Models", *European Economic Review*, 48 : 63-73.
<http://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/eecrev/v48y2004i1p63-73.html>
- Davis, D (1998) "Technology, unemployment and relative wages in a global economy," *European Economic Review* 42(9), 1613-1633.
<http://www.columbia.edu/~drd28/eurotech.pdf>
- Deardorff, A. V., (2004), "Local Comparative Advantage: Trade Costs and the Pattern of Trade", DP No. 500, University of Michigan.
<http://ideas.repec.org/p/mie/wpaper/500.html>
- Dettmer, B., (2013), "International service transactions: Is time a trade barrier in a connected world?", *International Economic Journal*, (September).
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10168737.2013.825305#.Uzp46VfyJlQ>
- Falvey, R.E., (1976), "Transport Costs in the Pure Theory of International Trade". *Economic Journal*, 86(343), 536-550. <http://ideas.repec.org/a/ecj/econjl/v86y1976i343p536-50.html>
- Feenstra, R.C., (2004), *Advanced International Trade: Theory and Evidence*, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Fink, C., A. Mattoo and I. C. Neagn., (2005), "Assessing the impact of communication costs on international trade", *Journal of International Economics*, 67(2), 428-445.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022199604001333>
- Head, K., Mayer, T., and Ries, J., (2009), "How remote is the offshoring threat?," *European Economic Review* 53, 429-444. <http://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/eecrev/v53y2009i4p429-444.html>
- Kikuchi, T., (2009), "Time Zones as a Source of Comparative Advantage", *Review of International Economics*, 17(5), 961-968.
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1467-9396.2009.00811.x/abstract>
- Kikuchi, T., (2013), *Time Zones, Communications Networks, and International Trade*, Taylor and Francis.

- Kikuchi, T. and S. Marjit, (2011) "Growth with Time Zone Difference", *Economic Modelling*, 28, 637-640. <http://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/ecmode/v28y2011i1-2p637-640.html>
- Kikuchi, T., S. Marjit and B. Mandal, (2013), "Trade with Time Zone Differences: Factor Market Implications," *Review of Development Economics*, 17(4), 699-711. <http://ideas.repec.org/a/bla/rdevec/v17y2013i4p699-711.html>
- Laussel, D., and R. Riezman., (2008), "Fixed Transport Costs and International Trade", in S. Marjit and E. Yu (eds.), *Cotemporary and Emerging Issues in Trade Theory and Policy*, Elsevier.
- Limao, N. and A. Venables., (2001), "Infrasructure, Geographical Disadvantage, TransportsCosts and Trade", *World Bank Economic Review*, 15(3), 451-479. <http://elibrary.worldbank.org/doi/abs/10.1093/wber/15.3.451>
- Marjit, S., (2007), "Trade Theory and Role of Time zones", *International review of Economicsand Finance*", 16, 153-160. <http://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/reveco/v16y2007i2p153-160.html>
- Matsuoka, Y. and M. Fukushima (2010) "Time Zones, Shift Working and International Outsourcing," *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 19, 769-78. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1059056010000377>
- Trefler, D., (1995), "The Case of Missing Trade and Other Mysteries." *American Economic Review*, 85, 1029-1046. <http://ideas.repec.org/a/aea/aecrev/v85y1995i5p1029-46.html>